```
J7aWfriA
      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
      SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
 3
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 4
                                              19 Cr. 450 (PGG)
                 V.
5
      JAMIE FRIERSON,
6
                     Defendant.
                                              Arraignment
 7
           -----x
 8
                                              New York, N.Y.
                                              July 10, 2019
9
                                              3:00 p.m.
10
     Before:
11
                          HON. PAUL G. GARDEPHE,
12
                                              District Judge
13
                                APPEARANCES
14
      GEOFFREY S. BERMAN
15
          United States Attorney for the
           Southern District of New York
     BY: SEBASTIAN A. SWETT
16
          ALINE R. FLODR
17
          Assistant United States Attorneys
     DAVID E. PATTON
18
          Federal Defenders of New York, Inc.
19
          Attorney for Defendant
     BY: CHRISTOPHER A. FLOOD
20
          Assistant Federal Defender
21
22
23
24
25
```

summer.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SWETT: Good afternoon, your Honor. Sheb Swett

and Aline Flodr, for the United States, joined at counsel table

by Michael Avi-Yonah, who is interning in our office this

MR. FLOOD: Your Honor, good afternoon. Christopher Flood, Federal Defenders of New York, on behalf of Mr. Frierson, who is present, standing at defense table.

Your Honor, I'd ask that Mr. Frierson be allowed to sit through these proceedings. He's quite ill.

THE COURT: OK.

(Case called)

MR. FLOOD: Thank you.

THE COURT: We're here for purposes of arraigning Mr. Frierson on the indictment.

Mr. Frierson, you're here with Mr. Flood as your attorney this afternoon, is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Have you received a copy of the indictment --

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: -- which reflects the charges against you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have.

THE COURT: And have you had a chance to discuss the charges with Mr. Flood?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, briefly.

THE COURT: Count One of the indictment charges that
on May 8, 2019, you entered an Apple Bank branch located in the
vicinity of 120 East Fordham Road in the Bronx, and you
demanded that the bank employee there turn over to you certain
moneys in the bank's custody, and you did so with threats of
violence.
Count Two says that on or about May 9, 2019, at an
Apple Bank branch located in the vicinity of 44 East 161st
Street in the Bronx, you demanded that a bank employee turn
over to you the money in the bank's custody and that you did so
using threats of violence.
You're charged, in essence, with bank robbery in Count
One and Count Two, and those are the circumstances.
Do you understand those are the charges against you?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Do you wish me to read the charges to you
now here in open court?
THE DEFENDANT: No. No, sir.
THE COURT: Then I'll ask you now, how do you plead as
to Count One; guilty or not guilty?
THE DEFENDANT: Not guilty, sir.
THE COURT: And how do you plead as to Count Two;
guilty or not guilty?
THE DEFENDANT: Not guilty, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

Mr. Swett, can you give me a sense of what the discovery in the case is going to look like.

MR. SWETT: Your Honor, the discovery has been produced. The government turned over a nearly full set of discovery on June 25, 2019. It consists of surveillance footage from the banks. It consists of NYPD reports. There was one identification after the first robbery. NYPD officers and members of the violent crimes task force showed a still image from the bank robbery to Mr. Frierson's sister, and she identified him. A report of that identification has been turned over. There has been fingerprint analysis of the demand notes, which have matched with Mr. Frierson's. Those have also been turned over. We have not yet turned over the backups. The lab that conducts the analysis has sort of the full analysis, and it's somewhat voluminous. We don't have that, but we have given them the positive fingerprint hits.

And then there was surveillance footage taken from the subway near one of the banks showing the defendant in the subway at the time of the robbery. We have produced still images from that surveillance footage, but we do not have the full video yet.

Other than those two items, all discovery has been turned over.

THE COURT: I take it there were no postarrest statements.

MR. SWETT: No postarrest statements, your Honor. No search warrants.

THE COURT: All right.

Mr. Flood, what are your views on when we should meet again?

MR. FLOOD: Your Honor, I think there's a couple competing factors. First, I would normally just ask for 30 days. It doesn't sound like it's complicated in terms of the type of discovery, although I could imagine a Daubert issue could arise on the fingerprints, depending — I would probably need to see that whole file or I guess what the government called the backup. But the more pressing issue is that Mr. Frierson's currently undergoing what is his third round of chemotherapy, and he gets it, like, every two weeks. And he expects, his understanding from discussion with medical staff is that he has three more to go.

As the Court, I'm sure, is aware, this was indicted some time ago. We've been waiving time before this arraignment, and it's exclusively about that, about his medical treatment. He's at Kingsbrook hospital in Brooklyn receiving that treatment where I see him. There is some complexity, however, because he can't receive mail there.

THE COURT: I'm sorry?

MR. FLOOD: He can't receive mail at the hospital. It gets forwarded from the MDC, and nothing they send just ever

arrives there. So, the 30-day clock, I would really be asking for closer to 60 days, realistically. But by then we should be able to know where to go from there.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. SWETT: Your Honor, may I just briefly be heard?

The government would oppose a 60-day deadline. We would like this case to move forward.

Just by way of background, Mr. Frierson was charged and convicted in the Southern District of New York for committing five bank robberies and attempted bank robberies after a trial. He was sentenced in early May. I think it was May 1, 2019. He received a sentence of time served with no supervised release, and about eight or nine days later, he committed the instant offenses.

The discovery is really hardly anything. I mean there may be a Daubert motion, but it's very straightforward. We have told Mr. Frierson, through his counsel, that the offer is he can plead to the indictment or he can go to trial, and we would like to know one way or the other which one it is. So, with all due respect to the medical issues and the complexity that that presents, we would ask that this case move expeditiously forward.

We would ask for a motion schedule today even, but at a minimum, if the Court is going to set a new conference date, we would ask for less than 60 days, and 30 seems like more than

enough time since defense counsel has had discovery for about three weeks now.

MR. FLOOD: Your Honor, as the government is aware, they may have produced discovery, it's not made it to my desk. We're changing -- we're moving in our offices, so I actually have not seen what they produced.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. The Federal Defenders have moved; is that what you're saying?

MR. FLOOD: We opened up an additional floor, and it's a lot of internal movement amongst lawyers and staff going to different offices, and that's basically what I'm dealing with, in the middle of it. That's not some great excuse, just explanation of what's going on. But the reality is it's about the communication with Mr. Frierson. I cannot call him. I cannot send him letters. I have to go to Kingsbrook hospital, which takes at least half a day every time.

THE COURT: Where is Kingsbrook hospital located?

MR. FLOOD: I can't give the Court the address, but it's on the far side of Crown Heights, and it's about a 45-minute cab ride.

THE COURT: And I take it from what you've said that -- well, let me step back.

The chemotherapy treatments, I think, you told me were every two weeks, right?

MR. FLOOD: He gets it every two weeks, but he resides

1 at Kingsbrook while that's ongoing.

THE COURT: So, he's inpatient.

MR. FLOOD: That's right. That's right, and he has stage 4 colorectal cancer that's metastasized. He was diagnosed in September of last year, quite honestly, a very serious health condition. And he should know at the last of these three treatments whether this round of chemo is having any effect, but the prior two had none.

THE COURT: Just so I'm clear, I think you said,
Mr. Swett, did he plead guilty in the other case?

MR. SWETT: No, your Honor. The case went to trial.

THE COURT: He was convicted, and he got no sentence and he got no supervised release.

MR. SWETT: Correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: And then he, according to the government, went out and committed other bank robberies. Right?

MR. SWETT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Flood, when will these three treatments be over?

MR. FLOOD: We understand it will be six weeks from now that the last of the treatments will be administered and may very well be -- like, I don't have the specifics. It's not like it's today, six weeks from today, but by six weeks it should be complete. And again, I'm happy to work within the Court's framework, but in terms of making decisions whether or

not to pursue motions, whether to plead, that's quite a bit of counseling, and that means multiple days at a place that it's very hard to schedule to get out to.

understand the government's frustration, and I'm sympathetic to their frustration. However, we are dealing with a man who is undergoing inpatient chemotherapy, and the circumstances are such that it's going to be difficult for Mr. Flood to spend substantial amounts of time with Mr. Frierson while he's inpatient at the hospital, and I'm sure Mr. Flood has other clients that he has to represent and other responsibilities that he has to fulfill. Under the circumstances, I am going to grant an adjournment longer than what the government wants. Understanding that chemotherapy is going to take about another six weeks, that would bring us to August 21, so what I'm going to do is put the case down for conference sometime during the week of August 26.

MR. FLOOD: Very good.

THE COURT: Mike, maybe towards the middle of the week of August 26.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Wednesday, the 28th, 10 in the morning is available, your Honor.

THE COURT: 10 in the morning?

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Is that convenient for everyone, August

J7aWfriA 28, 10 a.m.? 1 2 MR. FLOOD: Works, your Honor. 3 MR. SWETT: Yes, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Does the government wish to exclude time 5 between then and now? 6 MR. SWETT: Yes, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Flood? No, your Honor. 8 MR. FLOOD: 9 THE COURT: I will exclude time between today and 10 August 28, 2019, under the Speedy Trial Act, pursuant to Title 11 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(a) to permit Mr. Flood to review the discovery materials with his client and 12 13 determine whether there will be any pretrial motions. I do 14 find that the ends of justice served by the granting of this 15 continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 16 17 Is there anything else? 18 MR. SWETT: No. Thank you, your Honor. 19 MR. FLOOD: No, your Honor. Thank you. 20 (Adjourned) 21 22 23 24

25